

**REMARKS**

The Examiner is thanked for the very thorough and professional final Office Action, as well as for the interview so courteously conducted on February 16, 2011. Pursuant to that Office Action and interview, the Specification has been amended herein to clarify the identification of the claimed formulas, and Claims 1-5 and 8 have been rewritten to more definitely set forth the invention and obviate the rejection. The present amendment is deemed not to introduce new matter. Claims 1-5 and 8 remain in the application.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the objection to claims 1, 5 and 8.

As shown above, and as discussed during the interview conducted on February 16, 2011, claims 1, 5 and 8, have been rewritten herein so as to correspond the chemical formula numbers to same found in the specification. It should be noted that only two formulas, formulas 1 and 2, are present herein. However, “chemical formula” identifiers were included in the Japanese application to conform with Japanese patent practice, and these “chemical formula” identifiers, the root of the confusion herein, were translated directly into English. To remedy this matter, the Specification has also been amended herein to remove these “chemical formula” identifiers, and conform the formula designations to the claims. In view of these amendments, it is believed that the objection is now moot. Withdrawal of the objection is accordingly respectfully requested.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Claim 4 has been rewritten to now state that “the eye lens material is formed from constituent ingredients comprising polyvinyl alcohol”, as stated in the Specification on page 8, paragraph [0014]. In view of this amendment, it is believed that the rejection is now moot. Withdrawal of the rejection is accordingly respectfully requested.

In view of paragraph “4.” on page 2 of the instant Office Action, it is understood that claims 1-5 and 8 would be allowed if rewritten to overcome the objection to claims 1-5 and 8, and the rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that said objection and rejection have been overcome by the amendments presented above, and that the application is now in condition for allowance. Early action and allowance thereof is accordingly respectfully requested. In the event there is any reason why the application cannot be allowed at the present time, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned at the number listed below to resolve any problems.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNSEND & BANTA

*Donald E. Townsend, Jr.*

Donald E. Townsend, Jr.  
Reg. No. 43,198

Date: February 17, 2011

**Customer No. 27955**

TOWNSEND & BANTA  
c/o FoundationIP  
P.O. Box 52050  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(202) 220-3124